Figure 1. This figure presents in a diagram the seven concepts describing the Theoretical framework of acceptability (TFA). The first concept is the affective attitude, which is defined as how an individual feel about the intervention. The second concept is the burden, which is defined as the perceived amount of effort that is required to participate in the intervention. The third concept is the ethicality, which is defined as the extent to which the intervention has good fit with an individual's value system. The fourth concept is the intervention coherence, which is defined as the extent to which the participant understands the intervention and how it works. The fifth concept is the opportunity costs, which is defined as the extent to which benefits, profits or values must be given up to engage in the intervention. The sixth concept is the perceived effectiveness, which is defined as the extent to which the intervention is perceived as likely to achieve its purpose. The seventh concept is the self-efficacy, which is defined as the participant's confidence that they can perform the behavior(s) required to participate in the intervention. These seven concepts are all influenced by three temporalities presented under it, which are: prospective acceptability (prior to participating in the intervention), concurrent acceptability (whilst participating in the intervention) and retrospective acceptability (after participating in the intervention).

Figure 2. This figure describes the research methodology to find the articles included in this systematic review. Organized as a flow chart, it presents at the top the ten databases used to search articles : Academic Search Premier (1028 articles found), Web of Science (4031 articles found), PubMED (2651 articles found), Sociological Abstract (103 articles found), CINAHL (3148 articles found), Ergonomics Abstracts (34 articles found), ERIC (108 articles found), PsychInfo (5212 articles found), Social Sciences Full Text (116 articles found) and Current Contents Connect (3046 articles found). A total of 19477 records have been identified through this database searching. From this number, 8509 records have been removed because they were duplicates, leading to 10968 to screen. Then, 10856 records have been excluded because of the following reasons after the reading of their title and resume: not about obtaining the first driving license, evaluation parameters do not answer the research question, scoping review (study design), different target population, foreign language (other than English and French) or article unavailable. This led 112 articles to be assessed for eligibility. Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria’s, 87 full-text articles have been excluded at this step. The number of articles and their reasons of exclusion are: 44 articles excluded because evaluation parameters do not answer the research question, 19 because there were not about obtaining the first driving license, 11 because it was about a different target population, 9 because the article was unavailable and 4 because the study design was a scoping review. Finally, 25 studies were included in this literature review: 12 of them were qualitative studies, 10 quantitative studies and 3 of them were mixed-method.